## Measurement of the angular correlation between the two gamma rays emitted in the radioactive decays of <sup>60</sup>Co with two NaI(TI) scintillators

**Speakers:** Nicola Nicassio, Rosemarie Pirlo

Co-authors: E. C. Amato, A. Anelli, M. Barbieri, D. Cataldi, V. Cellamare, D. Cerasole, F. Conserva, S. De Gaetano, D. Depalo, A. Digennaro, E. Fiorente, F. Gargano, D. Gatti, P. Loizzo, F. Loparco, O. Mele, G. Perfetto, R. Pillera, E. Schygulla, D. Troiano

## **Didactic purpose**

### Target

• Laboratory course for undergraduate students

### Goals

- Understanding the interaction of photons with matter
- Operating and becoming familiar with scintillators
- Setting up an experiment to validate a theoretical model

# **Theoretical prediction**

#### Most probable decay channel

•  $\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{60}_{27}Co 
ightarrow {}^{60}_{28}Ni^* + e^- + \overline{\nu}_e 
ightarrow {}^{60}_{28}Ni 
ightarrow e^- + \overline{\nu}_e + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \end{array}$ 

### Lifetime of ${}^{60}_{28}Ni^* < ps$

•  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are emitted in coincidence

An angular correlation is expected between  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  (Hamilton, 1940) •  $W(\theta) \propto 1 + \frac{1}{9} cos^2 \theta + \frac{1}{24} cos^4 \theta$ 



## Gamma-ray spectroscopy

## The detection of $\gamma$ rays is based on their interaction with matter

- Photoelectric effect
- Compton scattering
- Pair production

### Properties of a $\gamma$ -ray detector

- Conversion medium for incident photons
- Conventional detectors for electrons produced in the  $\gamma$ -ray interaction

#### **Our experiment**

- NaI(TI) scintillators equipped with PMTs
- Commonly available in laboratories for undergraduate physics students



# **Experimental setup**

### <sup>60</sup>Co disk source

- $A \approx 5 kBq$
- $R = 0.5 \, cm, L = 1 \, mm$
- 2 Nal(Tl) scintillators coupled with PMTs
- S0: R = 8.2 cm, L = 8.2 cm, Dist = 20.0 cm
- S1: R = 5.8 cm, L = 5.8 cm, Dist = 14.1 cm

#### **Digital oscilloscope**

- Measurement of voltage signals and charge
   NIM modules
- Fan-in/fan-out, Discriminator, Logic unit

#### 2 rails

- A fixed rail for SO
- A mobile rail for S1



# **Trigger logic**

### **Data acquisition**

- Channel 1: Signal from SO
- Channel 2: Signal from S1
- Channel 3: Trigger

### **Trigger configurations**

- S0 v S1: energy calibration
- S0 & S1: correlation studies

#### **Pulse shape**

- Rise time:  $\tau_{RC} = 15 ns$
- Fall time:  $\tau_{sc} = 230 \ ns$



# **Energy calibration**

### Goal

• Conversion of charge signals into energy depositions in scintillators

### **Calibration points**

- <sup>60</sup>Co: 1.17 MeV, 1.33 MeV, 2.50 MeV
- <sup>137</sup>Cs: 0.662 MeV
- Pedestal: null energy deposition (trigger on the other scintillator)
- Charge extracted through Gaussian fits to the full energy peaks
- Linear dependence is found

### **Energy resolution**

- S0:  $\sigma_E/E \approx 2.5 \%$  at 1 MeV
- S1:  $\sigma_E/E \approx 5.0$  % at 1 MeV



## Data analysis with <sup>60</sup>Co source

#### Event rates with <sup>60</sup>Co (S1&S2)

- Peaks at <sup>60</sup>Co photon energies: full energy deposition in S0 and S1
- Horizontal/vertical bands: full energy deposition only in S0 or S1
- Diagonal bands: scattered photons in S0/S1 reach S1/S0

#### Main background sources

- <sup>40</sup>K in windows of PMTs: 1.461 MeV
- <sup>208</sup>Tl in scintillators: 2.610 MeV
- Cosmic rays

#### **Event selection**

• 
$$\left(\frac{E_0 - 1.17 \ MeV}{0.075 \ MeV}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_0 - 1.33 \ MeV}{0.150 \ MeV}\right)^2 < 1$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{E_0 - 1.33 \ MeV}{0.075 \ MeV}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_0 - 1.17 \ MeV}{0.150 \ MeV}\right)^2 < 1$ 



## Data analysis without <sup>60</sup>Co source

#### Event rates without <sup>60</sup>Co (S1&S2)

- Peaks at <sup>60</sup>Co photon energies: disappear
- Horizontal/vertical bands: disappear
- Diagonal bands: background photons only

#### Main background sources

- <sup>40</sup>K in windows of PMTs: 1.461 MeV
- <sup>208</sup>Tl in scintillators: 2.610 MeV
- Cosmic rays

#### **Event selection**

• 
$$\left(\frac{E_0 - 1.17 \ MeV}{0.075 \ MeV}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_0 - 1.33 \ MeV}{0.150 \ MeV}\right)^2 < 1$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{E_0 - 1.33 \ MeV}{0.075 \ MeV}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_0 - 1.17 \ MeV}{0.150 \ MeV}\right)^2 < 1$ 

![](_page_8_Figure_11.jpeg)

## Results

#### Measurements

- Scan of [90°, 180°] with 15° steps
- Signal rates calculated as difference between rate with and without source

### Fit model

•  $r(\theta) = r_0 (1 + a \cos^2 \theta + b \cos^4 \theta)$ 

#### **Correlation parameters**

Fit parameters	Expected parameters
$a = 0.249 \pm 0.058$	a = 0.125
$b = -0.064 \pm 0.0588$	b = 0.0416

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

Compatibility within  $2\sigma$  with expectations  $\rightarrow$  ... there is room for improvement!

## **Tips & tricks**

- Low activity source ⇒ Scintillators had to be close to the source ⇒ Large uncertainty on the opening angle (16°)
   ✓ Use of a higher activity source to keep scintillators farther from the source
- Fixed disk-like source ⇒ The projected cross section of the source on the mobile scintillator changed with the rotation angle
   ✓ Use of a rotating source to compensate for the asymmetry
- Statistics for signal rate limited by the size of the scintillators
   ✓ Use of larger scintillators (especially S1)

## For further reading:

"Measurement of the angular correlation between the two gamma rays emitted in the radioactive decays of a 60Co source with two NaI(TI) scintillators" - E.C. Amato et al 2022 Eur. J. Phys. 43 055802